

Sullivan County - EQIP RANKING PROCESS
FISCAL YEAR 2003
LOCAL RANKING CRITERIA

NAME: _____ DATE: _____
FARM #: _____ TRACT #: _____ Phone # _____
COMPLETED BY: _____

RESOURCE CONCERN: LIVESTOCK

1. Does your operation involve Mortality Disposal (Poultry or Swine)? And, will a Mortality Disposal System be installed as part of the EQIP Contract?

Yes or No

2. Is the area offered for contract that addresses livestock concerns located within the Wabash Valley Flood plain areas as specified on the General Soils Map (Specific to soil associations 1, 3, 4, 5 and 6 only)?

Yes or No

3. Is the livestock facility that is offered for contract located on Highly Erodible ground as marked on USDA-FSA Aerial photos?

Yes or No

4. Is the area offered for contract where manure will be spread? Is the manure application area located on Highly Erodible ground as indicated on the USDA-FSA Aerial photos?

Yes or No

Sullivan County - EQIP RANKING PROCESS
FISCAL YEAR 2003 LOCAL RANKING CRITERIA

(Continued)

RESOURCE CONCERN: LIVESTOCK

5. Is the pasture or livestock area offered for contract within 500' of any waters of the State (IDEM definition)?

Yes or No

6. Will manure be applied on the area that is offered be within 500' of any waters of the State (IDEM definition)?

Yes or No

7. Are animal wastes being staged (stored) uncovered on the ground within the area offered for contract?

Yes or No

8. Is the manure storage capacity of your operation on the area offered for contract less than 90 days?

Yes or No

High Priority = 5 or More Yes answers

Medium Priority = 3 to 5 out of 10 Yes answers

Low Priority = Less than 3 out of 10 Yes answers

Sullivan County - EQIP RANKING PROCESS

FISCAL YEAR 2003 LOCAL RANKING CRITERIA

(Continued)

RESOURCE CONCERN: Soil Erosion

1. Is the area offered for contract identified on the USDA-FSA Aerial Map as being Highly Erodible Land (HEL)?

Yes or No

2. Will Erosion Control Practices be installed on the area offered for contract with EQIP funds to help solve gully erosion problems?

Yes or No

3. Will both corn and soybeans be no-tilled for at least three years of the contract period on the area offered for contract? (Only available for first time no-tilling practices on the contract area.) Yes or No

4. Will you be willing to forgo all fall tillage on the area offered for contract?

Yes or No

5. Would you be willing to plant a winter cover crop on the area offered for contract for the entire life of the EQIP Contract?

Yes or No

Sullivan County - EQIP RANKING PROCESS

FISCAL YEAR 2003 LOCAL RANKING CRITERIA

(Continued)

RESOURCE CONCERN: SOIL EROSION

6. Is the area offered for contract located within the watersheds of Busseron, Mariah, Turtle Creek Watersheds or in the watershed of any of the PL-566 Flood Control Structures?

Yes or No

Soil Erosion:

High Priority = 3 or More Yes answers

Medium Priority = 2 to 3 Yes answers

Low Priority = Less than 2 Yes answers

RESOURCE CONCERN: WATER QUALITY

1. Is the area offered for contract located where soil types indicate possible vulnerable groundwater resources? (Map 3W only)

Yes or No

2. Is the area offered for contract located near waters impaired for nonpoint source pollutants? (Map @ 2W only: Busseron/Buck or Pollard ditch watersheds)

Yes or No

3. Is the area offered for contract within a municipal wellhead protection site? (See wellhead area map.)

Yes or No

Sullivan County - EQIP RANKING PROCESS
FISCAL YEAR 2003 LOCAL RANKING CRITERIA

(Continued)

RESOURCE CONCERN: WATER QUALITY

4. Is the area offered for contract within 300' of any waters of the State (IDEM definition)?

Yes or No

5. Are you willing to install any Conservation Buffers on the area offered for contract? (Vegetative Filter Strips, Riparian Buffers or Field Borders)

Yes or No

6. Is the area offered for contract identified on the USDA-FSA aerial photo as being Highly Erodible Land (HEL)?

Yes or No

High Priority = 3 or More Yes answers
Medium Priority = 2 to 3 Yes answers
Low Priority = Less than 2 Yes answers

Sullivan County - EQIP RANKING PROCESS
FISCAL YEAR 2003 LOCAL RANKING CRITERIA
(Continued)

RESOURCE CONCERN: Forest Management:

1. Is the area offered for contract within the identified range of any Endangered and Threatened Vertebrate and Invertebrate Locations? (See Endangered Species Map).

Yes or No

2. Is the size of wood-lot or connecting wood-lots proposed for forest improvement 10 acres or more in size?

Yes or No

3. Will the forest area being offered for contract connect existing forests?

Yes or No

4. Is the area offered for contract located within 5 miles of an incorporated area?

Yes or No

5. Is at least part of the area offered for contract within a floodplain (Floodplain Inventory Map)?

Yes or No

6. Is Livestock present in woodland within the area offered for contract and will they be excluded from the woodland?

Yes or No

Sullivan County - EQIP RANKING PROCESS
FISCAL YEAR 2003 LOCAL RANKING CRITERIA

(Continued)

RESOURCE CONCERN: Forest Management:

High Priority = 3 or More Yes answers

Medium Priority = 2 Yes answers

Low Priority = Less than 2 Yes answers

Local Ranking Summary

Livestock: High Medium Low Not Addressed

Water Quality: High Medium Low Not Addressed

Erosion: High Medium Low Not Addressed

Forestry: High Medium Low Not Addressed